1000日本人 マルス マガス マガス マガス マガス マガス マガス マガス マガス マガス マガ
DATE: Col- 13,72 TIME: 1:25 AM COUNTY: Parke
SUBJECT: Cos L
LOCATION: W. of Rochville on 56, Nside of RD.
PERSON CONTACTED: Creatin almost to montiques
I. Type of Operation: DUMP LANDFILL OTHER:
II. Operating Deficiencies:
7()No Approval ()No Permit ()Cover Not Adequate ()No Cover ()On-Site Roads Not Adequate Or Not Of All-Weather Type ()Burning: ()Past; ()Present ()Limited Access To Public Not Sufficient ()Blowing Paper Problem ()No Permit
()Size Of Working Face Too Large ()Accumulation Of Salvage Materials ()Surface Drainage Problems ()Leaching ()Vector Problem: ()Rats; ()Birds; ()Insects ()Hazardous Or Prohibited Wastes Allowed (Specify Below) ()Unloading Procedures Problem (Specify Below) ()Odor Problem
()Refuse Dumped In Water III. Dumps Only
Proximity To Major Highway
Proximity To Dwellings
Water On Site Or Nearby
Jurisdiction: Private County Municipal
Is There Garbage Present? Yes No
Soils Observed
Loversas often as fossible with The limited dint available.

INSPECTED BY TAKEN HOLLOW

October 17, 1972

Parke County Commissioners Court House Rockville, Indiana

Gentlemen:

On October 13, 1972, a representative of this office inspected all of the refuse disposal facilities in Parke County. The facilities inspected along with comments on their operation are as follows:

- 1. Bloomingdale dump--closed, but not covered.
- 2. Rockville landfill (south of sewage treatment plant) -- not in operation.
- 3. Rockville landfill (northeast of Rockville) -- closed and covered.
- 4. Montezuma dump--closed and covered.
- 5. Open dump by covered bridge (Lodi) -- open dump, currently in use but should be closed.
- 6. County landfill (north of Route 36, west of Rockville -- open and in use. The operator is doing a fairly good job, but cover is seriously deficient due to a lack of available cover material.

If you have any comments about this list or any of our notes on these operations, please advise.

Very truly yours.

Roland P. Dove, Chief General Sanitation Section Division of Sanitary Engineering AC 317/633-4393

RFC/mkt

cc: Parke County Health Department

August 2, 1973

Firke County Commissioners Court House Rockville, Indiana 47872

Centlemen:

Re: Parke County Refuse Disposal Practices

On July 30, 1973, a representative of this office inspected the refuse disposal sites in Parke County.

It was observed that the County has virtually eliminated all disposal sites but the county landfill located six miles west of Rockville.

However, we observed that the dump near Lodi has not been properly closed. Refuse remaining uncovered is an invitation for continued use of the dump, despite signs directing that refuse be hauled to the county landfill. We recommend that the material at this site be buried or hauled away to the landfill.

Secondly, a check of our records indicates that the county landfill has never received approval from the State Board of Health. This is in violation of Section 3 of the Refuse Disposal Act. We urge you to contact this office relative to obtaining such approval.

Very truly yours.

Roland P. Dove, Chief General Sanitation Section Division of Sanitary Engineering AC 317/633-4393

RFC/mkt

cc: Parke County Health Department

/ SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL FACE	ILITY INSPECTION REPORT
1.1.15	UNTY:
(Value 7) 1/ (-	-
2.6	to all interchance ma
- J	it Marinet
PERSON(S) CONTACTED:	C Sylver College Comments
FERATION APPROVEDZ YES NO PERMIT NUMBER	Vectors
: PERATION DUMP LANDFILE OTHER	() 19. Effective vector control program.
resa. Standards	
	Safety
Janitary facilities meet standards.	 () 20. Roll bars and fire extinguishers on rolling equipment. () 21. Provisions available to extinguish fires.
. Proximity to dwellings greater than 600 feet.	(c) 22. Communication equipment available.
re: Juality	 () 23. Scavenging prohibited. () 24. Traffic patterns established, vehicles discharge without delay.
Groundwater menitoring wells installed in accordance with Board requirements. Sampling as specified.	Operator Instructions
. Surface drainage controlled No refuse deposited in water.	() 25. Operating manual, safety precautions, and procedures avail
Leachate control/treatment satisfactory.	able.
s: _uality	Cover Applications
· Coen burning () past () present	() 26. Waste spread in layers, compacted on approxiate 3:1 slope
* ********	27. Daily cover adequate. () 28. Finished portions covered with minimum of two feet of ear
	seeded with suitable vegetation.
Control and/or policing of blowing paper adequate Vehicular access controlled when site is not open.	() 29. Final slope not less than 2%, without ponding of water.
Containers at gate clean and litter free.	Hazardous and Special Wastes
. Natural windbreaks and greenbelts maintained. Entrance sign adequate, permit numbers and working	() 30. No unauthorized hazardous wastes accepted.
hours stated. Salvaged materials properly stored, and not causing op-	() 31. Bulky waste handling adequate. () 32. Dead animal handling adequate.
erational problems.	
On-site roads constructed to minimize tracking of mud onto public roads.	Equipment
 Refuse processing facilities maintained in litter free condition. Overnight storage areas enclosed. 	 () 33. Refuse handling equipment adequate to spread, compact and cover waste.
101	Recorde,
Decomposition games adequately controlled.	(L) 34. Set of approved plans on site.
SICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SITE:	
sinity to major highways:	
er on site or nearby: ::s4iction of Site or Operation: Private County Muni	cipal
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LAKS FROM PART III	
- Remarks	A Marille Con Contract
- the property	In formation stand of Course
- Marie Mallea	The succession
- faile Broke to	milicum.
-	
TRALL EVALUATION:	
erall evaluation of operation: Excellent Good Marginal Un	nacceptable
rerall evaluation of site: Good Marginal Poor	
- FOUL	
	Inspected by:
Then:s/Diagrams	